




MARCH 1982

12p

VOICE OF THE NEW NATIONAL FRONT

BLACK CRIME ROCKETS AFTER RIOT WHITEWASH



Blacks holding up a bank - as caught by hidden TV camera

Police afraid to arrest lawbreakers for fear of upsetting 'race relations'

CRIMINALITY AND THUGGERY by Blacks have greatly increased since the race riots of 1980 and 1981 - particularly in the areas of the worst rioting. This is clear from reports from London, Liverpool, Manchester and Bristol.

After the disorder most of those found guilty received totally inadequate punishment. At the same time politicians and mass media were only too anxious to make excuses for them. 'Unemployment' was blamed - despite the fact that many times more Whites are unemployed than Blacks. 'Racial disadvantage' was cited as an explanation - although in fact there are a number of national and local government agencies operating opportunity schemes which positively discriminate in favour of Blacks and against Whites.

We then had the idiotic 'Scarman Report', which amounted to a virtual whitewash of the Black rioters and a condemnation of British society for causing them to riot.

POLICE BLAMED

One of the by-products of the riots was that Britain's policemen, who had stood their ground heroically against the mobsters - despite being given completely inadequate equipment to do the job, were blamed for 'insensitivity' towards Blacks and ordered in future to deal more gently with them. A new in-phrase, 'community policing', was introduced, which in practical terms meant no policing. Police officers were instructed to walk into Black areas in small numbers and unprotected, and to engage the locals in friendly chit-chat so as to win their favour. They were told to bend over backwards to avoid questioning the Blacks in a way that might provoke hostility and only to make arrests in the most extreme circumstances.

And what is the result of all this soft-peddling? Simply that Black criminals are now treating the law with greater contempt than ever.

From Liverpool comes news

that police officers trying to implement a 'community policing' project in the riot-torn Toxteth area are regularly being set upon by Black gangs and beaten up. In one recent incident a mob of about 40 attacked two uniformed constables with iron bars and staves. In another incident officers were hit with bricks and pieces of concrete and punched and kicked, two of them having to have 16 and 9 stitches respectively.

In Manchester Chief Constable James Anderton, himself a champion of multi-racialism, has admitted that his officers are now afraid to carry out their duties properly when it comes to arresting and questioning Blacks suspected of offences. If they give the slightest signs of suspicion, they can expect to have a whole army of 'do-gooders' of 'community relations' lobby down on their necks, putting them on the carpet for their pains. Now many of them are just looking the other way where in the past they would have acted.

And in both Liverpool and Manchester incidents of violence by Blacks are reported to be much on the increase - the rioting at St. Saviour's school in Toxteth being an example.

Down in Bristol, after the St. Pauls riots in April 1980 the police have been carrying out a policy of 'softly-softly' in the area, endeavouring desperately not to upset the Blacks. This did not prevent another riot taking place there early this year. On this occasion the police officer who suffered the worst injuries was PC Bennett, who had established a reputation of being the Blacks' best friend among the force - the model 'community policeman'. He was viciously attacked and received a broken nose, a fractured skull and serious injuries to the jaw and eye.

UNPROTECTED

Now in St. Pauls law abiding White people get less protection than ever. Recently a Black mob attacked the offices of a local taxi firm, smashing the windows,

Contd. on page 3

New Frontier

No. 14 MARCH 1982

Editor: Ronald Rickcord

Contributing Editor: Charles Parker

New Frontier, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.
Tel: 0273 777540

AVOIDING THE CATASTROPHE

REPORTS about meetings that are currently being held at the Home Office suggest that the politicians are beginning to panic about the possibility of renewed race rioting taking place in our cities this coming spring and summer. Well they might; for if there are more riots this year, as very likely there will be, the blame will rest squarely upon the shoulders of our flaccid politicians who, by their reluctance to face facts and take the appropriate action, have undoubtedly contributed to the likelihood of further rioting.

At one of these meetings, held under the chairmanship of Home Secretary Willie Whitelaw, one MP warned that "April is only a few weeks away and it was in April during the last two years that street riots started, first in Bristol then in Brixton." So it was; but unfortunately those attending the meeting were not discussing the urgent need to take the obvious action that is required to avert future riots. No; they were discussing the urgent need to implement Lord Scarman's recommendation that there should be liaison between the police and "local community leaders" — presumably in the pious hope that such liaison will deter potential rioters!

What a hope! The rioters have already tasted blood — and with impunity! During the past few years they have learned that, however violent or outrageous their behaviour may be, the only reaction from Britain's craven politicians will be a grovelling appeasement, followed up by substantial cash handouts and the granting of special privileges to the 'ethnic minorities' — providing, of course, that they promise to refrain from rioting, burning and looting in future! Gutlessness of this sort makes future riots almost inevitable.

After the meeting, the Government's race supremo, Timothy Raison, called for the urgent setting up of "liaison committees", together with all the paraphernalia without which such organisations evidently cannot operate — terms of reference, secretariats, chairmen and so on. He might just as well have prayed for rain; for a cold, wet summer is much more likely to keep rioters off the streets than any number of "liaison committees".

If the Government had any serious intentions about avoiding future race riots, it should have taken the necessary action long ago. What needs to be done is obvious to anyone with a modicum of common sense: firstly, severe penalties must be inflicted on anyone found guilty of fomenting riots, attacking the police, destroying property and looting; secondly, immigration of coloured people must be stopped immediately; and thirdly, coloured people must be returned to the lands from where they or their forebears originated as soon as the necessary arrangements can be made.

Failure to heed the advice proffered above will sooner or later lead to a catastrophe of horrendous proportions. No-one wants that. None of us wants our cities burnt down, our police maimed or even killed, or our fellow countrymen to live in fear of their lives. But that is the stark reality we will eventually have to face if the Government does not soon take the appropriate action.

DR. ROBERT RUNCIE, the Archbishop of Canterbury, recently informed television viewers that it is time Britain had a black bishop. He also told viewers that he supports 'positive discrimination' in the allocation of resources and projects to 'disadvantaged Blacks'.

★★★

A REPORT in The South London Press claims that 149 robberies took place in Brixton during the first 19 days of 1982. Mr. James Jardine, chairman of the Police Federation, discussing Lord Scarman's recommendations, said that muggings in places like Brixton could only be stopped if there were sufficient police on the streets.

★★★

SENIOR OFFICERS of the Royal Navy have warned the Government that the planned closure of Chatham Dockyard and the rundown of Portsmouth in 1984 will seriously jeopardize the readiness of the Fleet. The cuts will also involve the loss of more than 16,000 jobs.

★★★

NEWS ROUND-UP

AT BIRMINGHAM two brothers who came to Britain from Jamaica in 1965 have been found guilty of forcing young girls to work for them as prostitutes. The brothers earned £14,000 a month from the girls. The elder of the brothers, Norris Hall, was also found guilty on three rape charges. Sentencing him to 18 years' imprisonment, the Judge called him a "despicable character." The younger brother was jailed for 10 years.

★★★

THE WHITE POPULATION of 'Zimbabwe' has decreased from 277,000 in 1976 to less than

COMMON MARKET COMMENT

E.E.C. WITHDRAWAL VITAL TO SURVIVAL OF FISHERMEN

by
RONALD
RICKCORD

A MAJOR casualty of Britain's membership of the EEC has been our once-thriving fishing industry. The extent to which that industry has declined was recently highlighted when it was announced that two Hull-based super trawlers are to be sold to a New Zealand company and that three conventional trawlers, also from Hull, have been sold to Iceland.

It is indeed galling that we should sell our trawlers to Iceland of all countries; for it was Iceland that deprived our fishermen of their traditional fishing grounds in the North Atlantic by extending their exclusive fishing zone to 200 miles from her shore and then, when the British Government made the usual feeble protests, she followed up her impertinence by inflicting a humiliating defeat on the British Lion in the last Cod War. Of course, Iceland's victory was made easy by the abject cowardice of Britain's political leaders, who would no more allow the Royal Navy to shoot to kill than they will let our troops use real bullets in Ulster today.

Because Britain's fishermen have always been highly efficient their industry has never been overmanned and in consequence they are relatively few in number. For this reason they do not have much voting strength and their interests have therefore been ignored by successive governments. They have been treated shabbily. To treat them thus is the height of folly because fishing is an immensely important industry to a country like ours, which is not able to produce anywhere near the whole of its food requirements.

MANIFESTO PROMISE

The Government is well aware of the importance of our fishing industry. In 1970 the Tory election manifesto unequivocally stated: "We will promote the prosperity of the fishing industry," and in the party's 1974 manifesto similar words were used: "It is also in the national interest and in the interest of every housewife, to safeguard our fishing industry." But by 1979 the Tory commitment to fishing had noticeably cooled. In that year

190,000 today. Among those who left last October were 42 doctors, 212 engineers, 133 accountants, 241 teachers and 237 nurses.

★★★


MARKS & SPENCER, the high street retailer, has donated £5,000 to the SDP-Liberal alliance to enable members of the alliance to study the problems of unemployment and industrial recovery.

★★★

THE International Olympic Committee is considering whether to include roller skating and sambo wrestling in the 1988 Olympic Games.

★★★

STEVEN PRENDERGAST, a 22-year-old Black rapist who terrorized women throughout the Tottenham area of London, has been sent to Broadmoor after being found guilty of raping 11 women. Prendergast's defence claimed that he had an uncontrollable urge for sex.



LEGACY OF MARKET MISRULE
Trawlers lying idle in Hull docks

their manifesto was hedged with vague provisos: "In the absence of agreement (with the EEC), we would not hesitate to take the necessary measures on our own, but of course on a non-discriminatory basis." Needless to say, no agreements on territorial limits, conservation policies or unfair dumping have been reached, and in their absence, "necessary measures", whether "non-discriminatory" or otherwise, have not been taken.

Why have the Tories changed their attitude towards our fishing industry during the past 12 years? And why is the present Government prepared to tolerate the industry's decline? The answer is that our fishing industry has become a pawn in the great game of Euro-politics; it has been traded off in exchange for paltry concessions, like the recent minuscule reduction in our massive contribution to the EEC's budget. Put simply, a thriving British fishing industry is not compatible with the plans that the Eurocrats have in store for us. National independence is something that they regard with horror: British subservience is their aim.

Of course, the EEC is not the only problem that is contributing to the difficulties being experienced by our fishermen. The outcome of the Cod Wars, overfishing by the continentals, and the astronomical fuel costs British fishermen have to pay (despite North Sea oil!) all adversely affect the industry; but by far the greatest damage is being caused by our membership of the EEC.

DIRE PERIL

So dire is the peril to British fishing that not long ago a report produced by the House of Lords warned that there was not "a single major fishing port in the United Kingdom which is not in danger of complete collapse." More recently, Thomas Boyd, a director of the company which is being forced to sell the two super trawlers to New Zealand, commented: "The Government will be responsible for the total collapse of what was, when Britain joined the EEC, the largest fish industry in Europe. It's in the process of becoming the smallest, if it survives at all."

Under EEC rules all member states now have access to what were once exclusively British fishing grounds. Indeed, 60 per cent of the EEC's fishing grounds — derisively called the "Community Pond" by Britain's fishermen — was formerly British. What is even worse is that having overfished their own waters,

continental fishermen are now doing the same in what were ours; and, not content with filching what ought to be our fish, they then dump vast quantities of those fish on our markets with the aid of subsidies provided by their own governments in direct contravention of the EEC rules. This practice undercuts our fishermen and thus further deprives them of their livelihood.

This crazy situation was recently

Contd. on back page

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — Congratulations to *New Frontier* for giving publicity to the appalling story about that courageous old war widow, Mrs. Frances Willis. The fact that an old lady in failing health was treated in such a fashion brings shame on our country. Why can't some of the vast sums of money sent as aid to 'Third World' countries be spent on our war widows and war pensioners? They don't ask much: just enough to live out their lives in comfort and dignity.

I'm an ex-serviceman and like Mrs. Willis I also live in Northampton. I feel we members of the NNF should try to do something to make the remaining years of people like Mrs. Willis a little bit easier. They are the salt of the earth. If anyone would like to help please contact me at 11, Tyes Court, Lings, Northampton.

LEN SMITH
Northampton.

Sir, — In his Report Lord Scarman claims that young Blacks in Brixton are "deprived". What on earth does he mean?

Black children in Britain receive exactly the same education as white children. They sit in the same classrooms, do the same lessons and have the same teachers. Most teachers will bend over backwards to help black children (often at the expense of white children) and discrimination against black children by teachers is almost unheard of. Black pupils get the same opportunities to go to universities as white pupils.

As *New Frontier* pointed out in a recent article, black youths in Britain are proportionately far less affected by unemployment than their white contemporaries; but when they do become unemployed they get exactly the same social security benefits as everyone else. They are also fully entitled to use the public facilities available to the rest of the population.

But black youths benefit from one major advantage not available to white youths — "positive discrimination". Blacks get a disproportionately large number of educational grants, as anyone who visits London libraries during the working day will be able to see. They are also treated much more leniently by the courts when they commit criminal offences.

So when Lord Scarman claims that Brixton Blacks are "deprived", I immediately ask myself, "Deprived of what?" Certainly they haven't been deprived of anything that justifies causing last year's riot, the like of which hasn't happened in living memory. And what a contrast these people are when compared with the 3 million unemployed Britons who, though they must be sorely tried, still have an abiding respect for law and order!

DENNIS RITTER
London SE22

Teacher softness at root of Liverpool school anarchy **WHEN DISCIPLINE BREAKS DOWN IN THE CLASSROOM**

WHAT HAPPENED at St. Saviour's Primary School in Toxteth, Liverpool, last month should cause us no surprise; it was the inevitable product of two decades of 'liberal' methods of education — plus of course the madness of multi-racialism.

The school was simply taken apart by kids of only 8 to 11 years old, mainly Black. They wrecked classrooms, smashed

windows and doors, set fire to schoolbooks, turned fire extinguishers on teachers and tipped bins of pigswill over teachers' cars.

Mafia

And the school was ruled by a children's mafia, which terrified the other children by 'protection rackets' through which they extorted money by the threat of beatings up.

Said one teacher: "These kids seem to be totally out of control. Even when they're told off they

just laugh. It's unbelievable what's going on."

According to the Chairman of the local Education Committee, the staff got to near breaking point. One was physically sick. Others were in tears, and just didn't want to face the children any more.

Eventually the school had to be closed and the Headmaster sent away on sick leave.

Horror

It all sounds like something out of horror fiction, doesn't it? But this was real. It happened at a school in Britain in February 1982. It happened because the teachers couldn't — or wouldn't — keep control.

Just imagine — Grown adults terrorised into tears by nippies of 8 or 9! What kind of adults must they be? you may well ask.

But in all probability the teachers at St. Saviour's, Toxteth, are not exceptional. Most likely they typify their profession today — it just so happens that at St. Saviour's things were

tougher for them than at most other places.

These teachers are the product of today's teacher-training system — a system which seems only to be able to produce weaklings, totally incapable of maintaining discipline — even if, as is doubtful, they have ever heard of the word.

Authority

If we are to make our schools once again places of learning, instead of places of anarchy and terror, we must have a drastic rethink about our whole attitude to education. We must get rid of the sloppy, 'liberal' attitudes that have permeated the academic world in modern times and bring about a restoration of AUTHORITY. We must produce stronger teachers and we must give them stronger powers of punishment over errant pupils.

At least one thing may be said of the destruction at St. Saviour's — it provides overwhelming evidence that the permissive society doesn't work. At long last some of the 'liberals' and softies of the teaching profession have been hoist on their own petard. Perhaps they'll be wiser for the experience!

CORPORAL PUNISHMENT

Let's tell the European Court to go to hell!

JUST AT A TIME when the news from St. Saviour's Primary School in Liverpool underlines the need for stronger discipline in schools, comes the news that the European Court in Strasbourg has ruled that caning in British schools is illegal.

Illegal, so the court says, because it is in breach of the European Convention on Human Rights, which says that caning may only be employed in schools with the consent of the parent of the offending pupil.

Any more daft rule could not be imagined. It places different pupils in the same school — indeed in the same class — in different categories when it comes to school discipline. One pupil may be caned for an offence if his parents give their consent; another pupil cannot be caned for the same offence if his parents withhold their consent.

Can anyone imagine discipline being properly maintained in the classroom when there are different punishments for different pupils, even when the offence is the same?

In effect, the rule means that no pupils will ever be caned — for quite obviously any teacher will decline to cane some and not others.

One of the very causes of discipline breaking down at St. Saviour's in Liverpool was that the cane was not used enough. Teachers were frightened of using it because of threats from the parents of certain pupils.

At the time of going to print, it is not yet known whether the Thatcher Government will accept the ruling of the European Court, but it is expected that it will accept it.

As far as we are concerned, there is only one thing for our Government to do when it receives a silly and impudent instruction like this. It should tell the Eurocrats that they have no business legislating on what should be done in British schools, for that is something which we the British alone will decide. In other words, the Government should tell the European Court to go to hell!

U.K. 1982

At the Old Bailey trial of the Black youths accused of the murder of Terry May in Thornton Heath last summer, the jury included 5 Blacks, 2 Asians and a Jew. That leaves 4 members of the indigenous British population — just one third — in a jury at a trial taking place in Great Britain.

We must be bonkers!

UNBELIEVABLE — BUT TRUE

A man in Leeds, Christopher John Rose, aged 21, was charged in Leeds Crown Court for raping a little girl aged 2. He received only 4 years' imprisonment. With good conduct, he will be a free man in 2 years and 8 months!

Said the Judge passing sentence: "I take into account in your favour that at one time I think you were genuinely fond of this little girl. Also your life in prison is not going to be an easy one."

The Judge added: "I bear in mind in your favour that it is right to say that with a little girl of this age the psychological effects will probably be less than with an older child."

And some people wonder why rape is on the increase! If justice were done properly, the man would have been hanged — or castrated.

And the Judge should go down for a long stretch for making a mockery of the law.

JOIN UP!

Why don't you join the SDP?
Why don't you join us now?
It's true we have no policy,
But join us anyhow.

With Grunwick Shirl and Wily Woy
(Our heroes of the hour!)
You will be able to enjoy
The exercise of power.

We're financed by the Rowntree Trust
And Marks and Spencer too;
But still we try, as try we must,
To get some loot from you.

So come and join the SDP —
Nine quid is all we ask!
We truly hope you will agree
To help us in our task.

TALKING POINTS

by FRONTIERSMAN

ROYAL TWADDLE

The Prince of Wales recently commended the enterprise of Ugandan Asians in Britain who, according to the Prince, came here "without a bean and end up as millionaires." He continued: "They keep their shops open day and night. Surely we should look to their example."

I'm glad to see that this frightful twaddle has earned the Prince a well deserved rebuke from the Union of Shop, Distributive and Allied Workers. The



Always with an eye to winning favour with racial minorities in Britain, Prince Charles is seen here with a turban.

Union's journal comments: "His Royal Highness seems to have mistaken enterprise for illegal one-upmanship. One would have thought the Heir to the Throne would realise both that it is generally illegal to keep shops open after 8pm, and that this practice of working all round the clock in a retail business is outlawed for sound social and economic reasons in Britain." Indeed one would. And perhaps I may ask the Heir to the Throne a question: Is he aware that the Ugandan Asians who came to Britain after their expulsion by Idi Amin were given very large grants by the British Government — that is, the British taxpayer? Many Britons could doubtless become millionaires too if they were given *ex gratia* payments to start their own businesses.

UNION FLAG

In the recent Commons' debate during which various MPs called on the Government to prevent "extremist organisations" displaying the Union Flag, Mr. Greville Janner, the MP for Leicester West and a prominent Zionist, said that he couldn't "think of a more revolting misuse of the great flag of this free nation by those who are in the process of setting up racial hatred." To this the Government's spokesman on race relations, the effete Timothy Raison, replied that he agreed with what Janner had to say, and he then proceeded to waffle on about the "odiousness" of some of those who display our national flag.

To these remarks I can only say that there can be few more odious or revolting people than Messrs. Janner and Raison in British public life today. Janner espouses the cause of Zionism, which is undoubtedly the most brutal example of racial hatred in the world today, as the subjugated Palestinian Arabs whose land has been usurped by the Zionist invaders will readily testify. And Raison is a supreme example of the sort of mediocrity who typifies all that is worst in contemporary British public life — craven indecisiveness, crass ineptitude, mealy-mouthedness and abject supineness.

NEW REIGN OF TERROR

Following the criticism of the Commission for Racial Equality by a House of Commons Select Committee, it has been announced that Mr. Peter Newsam, aged 53, will take over the £24,000-a-year post as Chairman of the Commission from Mr. David Lane next September. Mr. Newsam is apparently well qualified for the job. He regards himself as an immigrant because his mother was born in France, his father in Barbados, and he was brought up in India. In his previous job as Education Officer at the ILEA he personally authorised teachers to attend the funeral of the unlamented Blair Peach without loss of pay. Yes, by the sound of it he's just the sort of chap the multiracialists are looking for! It has been predicted that under his chairmanship there will be an increased number of prosecutions under the Race Relations

ADMIRALS AND UPSTARTS

How times have changed! Recently I read a report that Admiral Sir Henry Leach, Chief of the Naval Staff, felt obliged to rush down to John Nott's Cornish constituency in an effort to persuade him to stop the Government's proposed sale of the Navy's 18-month-old carrier, *Invincible*, to Australia. No one should blame the Admiral for doing what he can to prevent the ship being sold. But can one imagine former First Sea Lords scurrying about the country-side in order to dance attendance on an upstart like Nott? Can one imagine the irrepressible Admiral Jackie Fisher, or the imperturbable Jellicoe, or the dashing Beatty, or the intrepid Sir Arthur Knyvet Wilson VC having to humiliate themselves in order to save a ship? For that matter, can anyone imagine a Cabinet Minister even contemplating selling one of the Royal Navy's capital ships in days gone by? Yes, times have changed.

CLASSROOM OGRESS

The magistrate who told school teacher Suzanne Puttock that by taking out a private summons against a black woman who assaulted her in her own classroom she was wasting taxpayers' money, deserves to be horsewhipped. How any magistrate can suggest to a young woman teacher that she must expect to be attacked by irate parents astounds me. Equally astounding is the fact that Miss Puttock had to take out a private summons and that it couldn't be served on the ogress concerned "because she became hysterical." I suspect that the outcome of this affair would have been very different had the teacher been black and the parent white!



MISS PUTTOCK
Assaulted
by Black
parent

BLACK CRIME

(Contd. from page 1)

damaging cabs and terrifying a night-time girl controller. When the proprietor rang for the police they never came. No doubt his mistake was to tell them that Blacks were involved — as soon as they heard that, they evidently didn't want to know.

In London crime figures show increases all round since last year's rioting. In Lewisham, just to take one example, the number of White women mugged by Blacks has nearly doubled. Burglaries have also gone up, being a third greater for 1981 than for 1980. In Brixton muggings in January of this year are 10 per cent up on the same month for 1981.

Four things emerge perfectly clearly from these increases. First, in the Scarman Report and in the mouthings of many politicians and journalists lawbreaking Blacks have found justification for their war on British society and as a result have stepped up that war. Second, in many places where Blacks have acted violently they have been rewarded by government money. Can it be any surprise that they presume that if they carry out more violence they'll get yet more money? Third, the court sentences

on rioters were in many cases so ridiculously soft that they provided no effective deterrent to the rioters to do the same again or to others to emulate them. Lastly, the hands of the police have been so tied, following Scarman and Government recommendations to chief constables that a low-profile be employed in riot areas, that effective policing has come to a stop.

All in all, the policy of softness and 'liberalism' with which the nation has reacted to the destruction wrought in our cities by Black thugs has proved to be a total disaster. The only alternative is the policy of the iron fist. Policing must become not softer but firmer. The courts, instead of handing out light sentences, must begin to hand out really heavy ones. The nation's spokesmen of politics and media, instead of pleading mitigation of the rioters, must condemn them utterly.

All these things are necessary in the short term. But in the long term there is only one ultimate solution to race rioting in our cities and towns. The source must be removed. The multi-racial experiment must be ended. Britain's Blacks must be sent home.

YOUNG ACTIVIST HURT IN ATTACK BY OLD 'FRONT'

It is with no pleasure that NEW FRONTIER publishes this report; on the contrary, we do so with great sadness. The report is being printed, nevertheless, because we feel that the facts in it should be known.

ON FEBRUARY 7th, a team of six activists of the New National Front, led by Richard Edmonds, set up a sales pitch for *New Frontier* in East Lane, off the Walworth Road in South London.

A team of activists of the old NF were also present in the street selling their own paper. No contact was made between the two groups but the NF sellers were heard shouting, in reference to our own sellers: "Smash the reactionaries!"

When the New NF sales team completed their paper sale they made their way back to their vehicle, a van parked a short distance away. As they neared the van, they were attacked by a mob of about 20 skin-

heads. All of them received some injuries and one, a young activist named Chris Collins, was forced to the ground and kicked in the head several times. He was quite badly hurt but, by a stroke of good fortune, his injuries turned out later not to be serious.

A number of the attackers were identified as belonging to Croydon Branch of the old National Front. Also identified on the scene, directing and egging them on, was Mr. Mark Spong — a member of the NF Directorate.

This is the second such incident of its kind. The first occurred outside West Ham United football ground last October, when a mob of old NF members attacked a New NF paper sales team. On that occasion, the New NF was present in sufficient numbers to see them off and no-one was hurt. Directing and inciting the attackers then was another NF Directorate member, Ian Anderson.

Shortly after the incident NNF Chairman John Tyndall chanced to meet another old NF Directorate member, Nick Griffin, in the street. Griffin told Mr. Tyndall that the attack had his support and that there would be further attacks if NNF sales teams were found selling their papers on what the old NF regarded as its own pitches.

The East Lane attack was evidently the fulfilment of Mr. Griffin's promise.

It having been established that no less than 3 members of the old NF Directorate either were implicated in, or approved, acts of violence against the New NF, the question remained: what attitude did the head of the party, Andrew Brons, take on the matter?

To ascertain this, NNF officer Richard Edmonds telephoned Brons at his home and asked him: (a) did he approve of the attacks? and (b) if he did not, what disciplinary action did he propose to take against those responsible?

Mr. Brons' reaction to these questions was to adopt a tone of amused contempt and to state that

he simply was "not interested". With that he put down the receiver.

Subsequent to this, the New National Front has issued a statement which is being circulated to officers of the old NF, where the addresses of the latter are known. The statement makes it clear that the New NF has no quarrel with ordinary members of the old NF, that it deplores the idea that the members of the two factions should fight against each other and that its only wish is that eventually the factions should be reunited again in one party. The antagonism that exists between the two exists solely by the wish of the NF leadership.

The statement said that, although the New NF members did not want to fight against their fellow nationalists they would certainly do so if forced to it. If their sales teams were attacked again, they would defend themselves with the utmost vigour. The onus lay on old NF members to see to it that the policy of conflict that seemed to be desired by their leaders should not be pursued by the members of the party.

Along with this statement, a visit was made to the home of Mr. Mark Spong by a delegation of New NF activists. No hand was laid upon Mr. Spong but he was told in no uncertain terms that it was in his interests, as well as the interests of other old NF officers, that such attacks should not occur again. Mr. Spong was observed by the members of the delegation to be of decidedly less confident demeanour than when he had a mob of his supporters to protect him, and at one point he was heard to say: "Don't kill me!"

It has been arranged for other old NF officers to be visited and offered the same advice. It is the sincerest wish of all of us that this advice be heeded — for if matters escalate into regular violence between nationalist and nationalist only our common enemy will profit. We must hope that in this regard the old NF rank-and-file will show a more mature and responsible attitude than their leaders apparently wish to do.

New paper



AT LAST IT'S OUT! The New National Front has its own special youth paper. The paper is called *Young Nationalist* and is published on behalf of the NNF youth section which bears the same name.

Young Nationalist is an attractively produced publication of 4 pages, with a page size equivalent to half a page of *New Frontier*. It is eminently suitable for sales on the streets along with *New Frontier*. For no extra effort, *New Frontier* sales teams can now employ an extra propaganda weapon, especially aimed at the young. The cost is 8p.

Subscriptions to *Young Nationalist* can be taken out but only if the subscriber orders 5 copies or more per issue. This is in order to make the subscription system cost effective and to stimulate circulation. Six issues will cost £3 and 12 issues £6. Publication will for the moment be at about the rate of 6 issues per year.

Bulk-rates for *Young Nationalist* will be: 5-19 copies at 7p each; 20-49 copies at 6p each; 50-99 copies at 5p each; 100-199 copies at 4p each; 200 copies or over at 3p each. Postal rates may be obtained on request but may be estimated on the basis of 2 copies of *Young Nationalist* costing the same as one copy of *New Frontier*.

Young Nationalist should be ordered from: 16 Vale Lodge, Perry Vale, London S.E.23.

NNF

Coming events

Important NNF activities scheduled for March and April are as follows:—

Friday, March 12th (evening): Meeting for members and friends — Birmingham — Main speaker JOHN TYNDALL.

Saturday, March 13th (afternoon): Midlands regional march — Coventry.

Thursday, April 8th (evening): Meeting for members and friends — Leeds — Main speaker JOHN TYNDALL.

Friday, April 9th (evening): Meeting for members and friends — Hull — Main speaker JOHN TYNDALL.

Saturday, April 10th (afternoon): Northern regional march and demonstration — Hull.

Saturday, April 24th (afternoon): National march — London (St. George's Day).

Precise locations and times of these events will be communicated to members through their branches.

E.E.C. WITHDRAWAL VITAL TO SURVIVAL OF FISHERMEN

(Contd. from page 2)

summed up by a spokesman for the Hull deep sea trawler industry who said: "1982 is the year of humiliation, when we surrender finally to the EEC. We have nothing left with which to negotiate any terms on which to base a future." He may well be right; but for the sake of our fishermen and our country let us hope that he is wrong.

The desperate plight of our fishing industry exemplifies the disastrous consequences that we have incurred by joining the EEC. Our total withdrawal is becoming increasingly a matter of the utmost urgency.

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The banning of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support of the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

NEW GROUPS FORMED

Two more New NF groups have been formed during the last month, both comprised of members who have left the old NF.

In Wakefield, Yorks., a group has been formed under the leadership of Douglas Hamilton.

In Lambeth, South London, a group has been formed under the leadership of Alan Macaree.

WRITE TO US

To: New National Front, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB.
Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information about the New National Front for which I enclose 20p.

I wish to become a Full Member of the New National Front and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs)

I wish to become an Associate Member of the New National Front and enclose £3.00. (No half-rate)

Name

Address

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *New Frontier* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.40 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *New Frontier* and send to *New Frontier*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

An additional charge of £1.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name

Address

OVERSEAS SUBSCRIBERS WELCOMED

BUY NEW FRONTIER IN BULK

New Frontier retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order only in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 32p post
25 copies £2.70 + 94p post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.57 post
100 copies £8.40 + £1.90 post
150 copies £11.70 + £2.10 post
200 copies £14.40 + £2.25 post
300 copies £19.80 + £2.55 post
400 copies £24.00 + £2.80 post
500 copies £29.40 + Bulk rates
1,000 copies £55.20 + by roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *New Frontier*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *New Frontier* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

Read SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the New National Front. Price 35p. Annual subscription £6.15. 47p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

New Frontier, though it believes in racial differences, opposes race-hatred. All those who oppose multi-racialism should attack the politicians who promote it, not the immigrants, who are merely its victims.

Buy SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the New National Front. Price 35p. Annual subscription £6.15. 47p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

BUY NEW FRONTIER IN BULK

New Frontier retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order only in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 32p post
25 copies £2.70 + 94p post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.57 post
100 copies £8.40 + £1.90 post
150 copies £11.70 + £2.10 post
200 copies £14.40 + £2.25 post
300 copies £19.80 + £2.55 post
400 copies £24.00 + £2.80 post
500 copies £29.40 + Bulk rates
1,000 copies £55.20 + by roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *New Frontier*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *New Frontier* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

OVERSEAS SUBSCRIBERS WELCOMED